Drain: FRED SEERICHT	Drain #:94				
Improvement/Arm: John Ruse	HTON RELOCATION				
Operator: J. LIVING STON	Date: 6-7-4				
Drain Classification: Urban/Rural Year Installed: 1985					

GIS Drain Input Checklist

- Pull Source Documents for Scanning
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains
- Digitize & Attribute SSD
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch
- Stamp Plans
- Sum drain lengths & Validate
- Enter Improvements into Posse
- Enter Drain Age into Posse
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse
- Check Database entries for errors

Gasb 34 Footages for Historical Cost Drain Length Log

Drain-Improvement: FRED SEERIGHT - JOHN RUSHTON RELOCATION

	1	Length	Longth	1 0 00 00 40	Le Alpo	ice and the second
Orain Type:	Size:	Lengui	Length (DB Query)	Length Reconcile	Delon	0
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0.20.		(DB Query)	Reconcile	Price:	Cost:
	<u> </u>					
THE (contener	€\ 8"	542	542'			
	-		1 312			
TILE (call) - Ann CMP	. 8 "	46' 30'	46' 30'			
				 		
cmp	8 '	50'	30			
						·
						<u>.</u>
	 					
	<u> </u>					
	_		618	<u> </u>		# / <u>-</u>
	Sum:	<u>'6/8'</u>	6/8		\triangleleft	\$4,291
		•		*		
inal Report:						
'amana amba						
omments:						
TILLS DELAKIA	Tent man					
THIS RELOCAT	421 AL	#1 12	1. It.	CRIGINAL	BRALL	T REPO
<u> </u>	IL HN	, ve. UF	A NEW A	rem .		





Kenton C. Ward, Surveyor

776=9626

Noblesville, Ind. 46060 January 9, 1985

To: Hamilton County Drainage Board

Re: Fred Seeright Drain

Attached is a drainage shed map, assessment roll, petition and plans for the relocation and reconstruction for the John Rushton Arm to the Fred Seeright Drain.

The John Rushton Drain was constructed in 1886 and is located 15 feet North of the section line for the first 800 feet. Because of this, a portion of the drain is now in the road side ditch. I believe that trees growing in the side ditch have obstructed the 8" tile drain.

Recently Mrs. L. Parker Baker expressed a desire to reconstruct the drain in order to drain a parcel South of 256th Street. This ground has approximately 5 acres which stand in water much of the time. Water has also stood over the road at times of heavy runoff. Therefore the Commissioners signed a petition for reconstruction on January 7, 1985.

Early in 1984 three breathers were placed in this tile in order that observations could be made of the tile. The breather locations are shown on the plan. Observations of breathers 1 and 2 show that water moves quite well. The breather at Sta 2+00 has water standing in it at all times.

The plan calls for relocating the drain 30 feet North of the R/W fence line. The new tile would begin at Sta 2+00 as shown on the plan and extend 542 feet West and tie back into the existing drain. Arm 1 to this shall begin at Sta 2+90 and extend 76 feet South of the main drain. This will allow the Baker property to tie tile into the system. Risers North and South of 256th Street will pick up the surface water. The cost estimate for this work is as follows.

588 ft. 8" conc. tile @\$5.00/ft	\$2940
30 ft. 8" CMP @\$8.00/ft	240
2 risers @\$125.00 each	250
1 road repair @\$350.00	350
½ acre seeding	175
	\$3955
+10%	395.50
	\$4350.50

I must point out that this is not the best solution to the problem. The 8" tile is undersized, but, in order to place the correct size of tile, the entire drain will need reconstructed which is cost prohibitive. The 8" will work but it will take a longer time period to relieve the water problem.

With the highway assessed at \$1000.00, the balance of the acreage, 52 acres, would be assessed \$64.44 per acre. There would be no change in the currant maintenance assessment.

A hearing should be set for March for this project.

Kenton C. Ward

Hamilton County Surveyor

KCW/jh

Hamilton County Mainage Board

This copy printed from the Digital Archive of the Hamilton County Surveyor's Office; One Hamilton Co. Square, Ste. 188, Noblesville, In 46060

Mr. Phil Henderson made the motion to approve the Surveyor's reconstruction report and the Schedule of Assessment as filed, seconded by Mr. Jere D. Roudebush and passed.

There were no landowners present for the maintenance hearing on the Westfield Farms, Section 1 Drain.

Westfield Farms : Section I, Maint hearing

There were no written objections on file,

The Surveyor presented his report to the Board.

Mr. Phil Henderson mad ethe motion to approve the Schedule of Assessments as filed, \$30.00 lot, minimum \$30.00, seconded by Mr. Jere D. Roudebush and passed.

The Surveyor informed the Board that when the Elwood Wilson Drainage Area was put on a maintenance program, William Harger-parcel 07-33-01-01-013, .625 acres had been left off. Mr. Harger has been notified.

Elwood Wilson Dra Area-correction

After much discussion, Mr. Jere D. Roudebush made the motion to approve the Surveyor's report and add parcel 07-33-01-01-013 to the Elwood Wilson assessment roll, seconded by Mr.Phil Henderson and passed.

The Surveyor informed the Board that he had approved a tie-in request on the William Lehr by Don Roberts.

Wm Lehr Drain tie-in request

No action was needed by the Board.

The Surveyor presented his final report on the Fred Seeright-John Rushton Arm Drain to the Board. (H.I.

Seeright Drain-Ru Arm-final report

"TO: Hamilton County Drainage Board

July 9, 1985

RE: Fred Seeright Drain-John Ruston Arm

On July 2, 1985, I made the final inspection on the reconstruction of the above named drain by Hendricks Excavating, Inc.. At this time I found the job to be complete and acceptable.

The contract price was \$4291.16 which is below the estimate of \$4350.50. There was no extra work involved.

A statement that all expenses has been paid was submitted. A claim for \$3,647.16 has been submitted along with one for the 15% retainer in the amount of \$644.00. I recommend the drain be accepted and the claims approved.

KCW/jh

Kenton C. Ward-Surveyor

Mr. Jere D. Roudebush made the motion to approve the Surveyor's report on the Seeright Drain-Rushton Arm, seconded by Mr. Phil Henderson and passed.

The Surveyor informed the Board he had approved a tie-in request on the Anna Kendall Drain from James W. Wood.

Anna Kendall Dra tie-in request

No action was needed by the Board.

The Surveyor informed the Board he had approved the tie-in request from James W. Wood on the George Seybold Drain.

Geo Seybold Drain tie-in request

No action was needed by the Board.

The Surveyor asked the Board to determine if the clearing ordered by a landowner, not part of the contract, was to be paid.

Charles Caylor

After much discussion, the matter died for lack of motion.

